# **U**NOVARTIS

# SCEMBLIX - Efficacy - HCP

# Prescribing information

Image





SCEMBLIX®  $\mathbf{\nabla}$  (asciminib) is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myeloid leukaemia (Ph + CML) in chronic phase (CP), previously treated with two or more tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and without a known T3151 mutation.<sup>1</sup>

# Efficacy

# SCEMBLIX®▼ (asciminib) showed superior efficacy vs bosutinib as early as Week 24<sup>1,2</sup>

ASCEMBL is the first head-to-head Phase III study comparing a STAMP inhibitor vs an ATP-competitive TKI.  $^{\rm ^{2,3}}$ 

A multicentre, randomised, active-controlled and open-label Phase III study of SCEMBLIX vs bosutinib, assessing MMR at 24 and 96 weeks, and other endpoints including time to and duration of MMR, CCyR and safety profile.<sup>2</sup>

The ASCEMBL trial population was not restricted to Ph+ patients with CML-CP.<sup>2</sup> SCEMBLIX is indicated in adults with Ph+ CML-CP previously treated with two or more TKIs and without a known T315I mutation.<sup>1</sup>

◀

- MMR at Week 24
- MMR at Week 96
- MMR at Week 156

# SCEMBLIX nearly doubled the MMR rate vs bosutinib at Week 24 (primary endpoint) $^{\rm 2,4}$

**MMR at Week 24** Primary endpoint<sup>2,4</sup>



\*On adjustment for the baseline major cytogenetic response status. <sup>†</sup>Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel two-sided test stratified by baseline major cytogenetic response status.

Adapted from Réa D, et al. 2021<sup>2</sup> and Mauro M, et al. 2023.<sup>4</sup>

# Achieving MMR has been associated with more favourable long-term outcomes, including survival and progression-free survival.<sup>2,5,6</sup>

#### Key secondary endpoint

Reported after a follow-up of 2.3 years<sup>2,4</sup>

#### MMR at Week 96<sup>2,4</sup>



\*On adjustment for the baseline major cytogenetic response status. <sup>†</sup>Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel two-sided test stratified by baseline major cytogenetic response status.

Adapted from Réa D, et al. 2021<sup>2</sup> and Mauro M, et al. 2023.<sup>4</sup>

# MMR continued to be higher with SCEMBLIX vs bosutinib at Week 96.<sup>2,4</sup>

### End of study treatment

MMR at Week 156<sup>2,4</sup>



\*On adjustment for the baseline major cytogenetic response status. <sup>†</sup>Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel two-sided test stratified by baseline major cytogenetic response status.

Adapted from Réa D, et al. 2021,<sup>2</sup> and Mauro M, et al. 2023.<sup>4</sup>

- CCyR at Week 24 and Week 96
- MR4 at Weeks 24, 96 and 156
- Cumulative incidence of MMR

More patients receiving SCEMBLIX may yield CCyR status over time vs bosutinib $^{1}$ 

Please note that p values were not formally tested for this analysis

CCyR at Week 24\*<sup>1,2</sup>



# CCyR at Week 96\*1-3



\*CCyR analysis based on 103 of 157 patients (65.6%) receiving SCEMBLIX and 62 of 76 (81.6%) receiving bosutinib who did not have this level of response at baseline. Key secondary efficacy and safety results were reported after a median follow-up of 2.3 years (16.5 months of additional follow-up since primary analysis).<sup>2</sup>

Adapted from Réa D et al. 2021,<sup>2</sup> Hochhaus A et al. 2023<sup>3</sup> and the SCEMBLIX SmPC.<sup>1</sup>

## CCyR is a predictor of better long-term outcomes.<sup>7</sup>

With SCEMBLIX, more patients achieved deep MR vs bosutinib at Week 24 through to Week  $156^{2,8}$ 

No statistical analysis was available at the time of publication

### MR4 at week 24<sup>2,8</sup>



## MR4 at week 96<sup>8</sup>



MR4 at Week 156<sup>8</sup>



Adapted from Réa D, et al. 2021<sup>2</sup> and Mauro M, et al. 2023.<sup>8</sup>

Deep molecular response is defined as MR4 (BCR-ABL1<sup>IS</sup>  $\leq$  0.01%) or better (with MR4.5 BCR-ABL1<sup>IS</sup>  $\leq$  0.0032%).<sup>5</sup>

# SCEMBLIX consistently increased cumulative MMR vs bosutinib over time<sup>2,4,8</sup>



### **Cumulative incidence of MMR**

\*Non-responders were censored at their last molecular assessment date.

Adapted from Réa D, et al. 2021,<sup>2</sup> Mauro M, et al. 2023<sup>4</sup> and Mauro M, et al. 2023.<sup>8</sup>

# SCEMBLIX achieved higher MMR rates vs bosutinib throughout the duration of the study.<sup>2,4</sup>

### SCEMBLIX resulted in fewer all-grade and grade $\geq$ 3 AEs vs bosutinib<sup>2,3</sup>

Learn more about safety information on SCEMBLIX

AE, adverse event; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BCR-ABL, breakpoint cluster region and Abelson murine leukaemia viral oncogene homologue; CCyR, complete cytogenetic remission; CI, confidence interval; CML-CP, chronic myeloid leukaemia in chronic phase; IS, international scale; MCyR, major cytogenetic response; MMR, major molecular response; MR, molecular response; Ph+, Philadelphia chromosome positive; STAMP, specifically targeting the ABL1 myristoyl pocket; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

## For further information, please refer to the **<u>Summary of Product Characteristics</u>**.

## References

- 1. SCEMBLIX (asciminib) Summary of Product Characteristics.
- 2. Réa D, et al. *Blood* 2021;138(21):2031–2041 (and supplementary appendix).
- 3. Hochhaus A, et al. Leukemia 2023;37:617–626 (and supplementary appendix).
- 4. Mauro M, et al. *Blood* 2023;142 (Supplement 1):4536-4539.
- 5. Hehlmann R, et al. *Leukemia* 2017;31(11):2398-2406.
- 6. Castagnetti F, et al. *Leukemia* 2015;29(9):1823-1831.
- 7. Jabbour E, et al. *Blood* 2011;118(17):4541-4546.
- 8. Mauro M, et al. Poster 4536. 65th ASH Annual Meeting & Exposition; December 9–12, 2023; San Diego, California, & virtual.

Adverse events should be reported. Reporting forms and information can be found at <u>www.mhra.gov.uk/yellowcard</u>. Adverse events should also be reported to Novartis online through the pharmacovigilance intake (PVI) tool at <u>www.novartis.com/report</u>, or alternatively email <u>medinfo.uk@novartis.com</u> or call 01276 698370.

#### Source URL:

https://www.pro.novartis.com/uk-en/medicines/haematology/scemblix/efficacy